



Challenges related to FSDN implementation in Poland.

Floriańczyk Zbigniew

29th PACIOLI-workshop Montegrotto Terme, Italy, 6th of October – 9th of October 2024

The main determinants of FADN/FSDN operation in Poland

No income tax, some farms use simplified registration for VAT deduction

Cooperation with advisory in farm data collection

Farmers low perception of farm accountancy utility

Growing number of farms that are not interested to share farm results

Administrative data are not accessible due to technical and methodological obstacles

FADN/FSDN is heavily dependent on farm advisory services

The main challenges related to FSDN implementation

More burdens with data collection while other administrative obligations are growing

There are other better paid and less work demanding projects for agriculture advisory

Farmers are disappointed with the current CAP and new variables are seen as a potential source of information for inspection

Poor job prospects for young people in FADN/FSDN

Ideas for the use of the financial contributions to Member States' implementation costs when setting up the FSDN

- 1. Strength incorporation of advisory cooperating with the FADN/FSDN in decision making process
- 2. Refresh analytical, communication and data collection technology
- 3. Make FSDN farmers feel that they are representative of the farmers community.

Thematic teams concept



Mobile application

Coordination and institutional relationships

Communication and promotion

Methodological

Mobile application team – foreseen actions and estimated budget

- 1. Consult with different stakeholders what are the needed futures of the mobile application (2025) 87K EUR
- 2. Elaboration of prototype mobile application that will:
- enable farmers and advisory have overview of the farm results
- support communication between advisory and famers
- support collection of farm data (2026) 135K EUR
- 3. Distribution of application accompanied with training and promotion (2027) 114K EUR.

Dilemmas - how to report mobile application actions in the setting up the FSDN budget model?

Proposed application sounds to fit to the following categories of activity:

(a) developing the Member States' computerised data collection, data checking, data processing and data reporting system

(c) developing methodologies and innovative approaches, including digitally-based solutions, to adapt the Member States' computerised data collection, data checking, data processing and data reporting system providing feedback reports and benchmark services for farmers

(e) incentivising farmers to participate in the FSDN, including by raising their awareness to the benefits of participating in the data network

Some conclusions

- 1. It is difficult to estimate budget for innovative actions
- 2. Ambitious plans are risky and may deliver unsatisfactory outcomes
- 3. Experience of other Member States are very welcome
- 4. In advance consultation with the Commission sounds as critical